

Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision: 2

04/02/2003

Eff. Date: Page: DAR No.:

1 of 10 NSNF-451

Approval:

Mark R. Arenaz Manh R Oven

Date: 3/ Mar 03

Approval:

Manager, National Spent Nuclear Fuel Program

NSNFP Quality Assurance Program Manager

Date: 3/3/03

# I. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Software used by the NSNFP to generate or manipulate National Spent Nuclear Fuel Program (NSNFP) technical information is controlled to ensure that calculations are properly performed and that the information integrity is not compromised.

This procedure applies to the purchase, development, modification, and use of software used to generate technical analysis results by the NSFNP. This procedure does not apply to commercially available operating systems, system utilities, compilers and their associated libraries, word processors, spreadsheets, database managers, e-mail, and other automated office support systems unless modified by the NSFNP. However, software routines and macros generated within these types of software are subject to limited requirements as provided in this procedure.

## II. SUMMARY

This procedure governs software use within NSNFP. For software controlled by the Yucca Mountain Project or for software routines and macros, this procedure ensures that software is installed, checked, and used in accordance with the appropriate governing documents. For other software controlled by NSFNP procedures, it directs the development of a software plan that specifies the requirements, controls, tests, and user information for each software product. The software plan is placed under configuration management and becomes the baseline for its respective software product.

# III. PROCEDURE

#### A. Software Routines and Macros within Exempt Commercial Software

PSO Technical 1. Staff

- Create or modify routines and macros as needed.
- 2. Ensure in coordination with the Document Control Coordinator (DCC) that software routines and macros have a unique identifier, which includes the version of the software application.
- 3. Independently verify by inspection or alternate calculation that the software routines and macros calculate correct results.



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision: 2

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003 Page: 2 of 10

DAR No.: NSNF-451

# PSO Technical 4. Staff

Within documentation of technical products generated using the software (see PSO 3.04, Engineering Documentation), reference the software and the associated routines and macros along with documentation of verification performed in previous step of this procedure.

5. GO TO Step III.D.

# B. Software Controlled by Yucca Mountain Project

# PSO Technical 1. Staff

- . If software will be used without modification, GO TO Step III.D.
- 2. Otherwise, request changes in accordance with governing Yucca Mountain Project procedures.
- 3. After necessary changes have been completed, GO TO Step III.D.

#### C. Other Software

# PSO Technical 1. Staff

- If software has an active software plan and will be used without modification, GO TO Step III.D.
- 2. Establish unique identifier in coordination with the DCC.
- 3. For software developed outside of the NSNFP, perform the following:
  - a. Prepare software plan in accordance with Attachment A, indicating how each criterion is satisfied and providing justification for any deemed not applicable.
  - b. Review and issue software plan in accordance with PMP 6.01.
  - c. Acquire software that satisfies the software plan, using PSO 4.01 or 4.02 for any procurement.
  - d. Go to III.D.
- 4. To revise or develop software within NSNFP, prepare or revise and execute a software plan.
  - a. Perform planning and requirements phase of software development.
    - (1) Prepare or revise planning and requirements phase of software development and document as shown in Section 1 of Attachment A.
    - (2) Verify satisfactory completion of the design phase by reviewing and issuing the document in accordance with PMP 6.01.



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision:

2 04/02/2003

Eff. Date: Page: DAR No.:

3 of 10 NSNF-451

# PSO Technical Staff

b. Perform design phase of software development.

- (1) As outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A, document the new or revised design specifications to ensure specified requirements are satisfied.
- (2) Verify satisfactory completion of the design phase by reviewing and issuing the document in accordance with PMP 6.01.
- c. Perform implementation phase of software development.
  - (1) Create or modify code in accordance with software plan.
  - (2) Establish unique identifier for the software in coordination with the DCC.
  - (3) Prepare user information as instructed in Section 3 of Attachment A.
  - (4) Verify satisfactory completion of the implementation phase by reviewing and issuing document in accordance with PMP 6.01.
- d. Perform testing phase of software development.
  - (1) Specify tests required for software validation and installation as instructed in Section 4 of Attachment A.
  - (2) Review and issue test plans in accordance with PMP 6.01.
  - (3) Perform validation testing in accordance with approved test plans.
  - (4) If validation tests were unsuccessful, perform the following:
    - (a) Document software defect and its resolution in test documentation.
    - (b) Repeat applicable steps to revise the software or software plan as needed.
  - (5) Submit documentation of successful validation to the DCC along with test documentation generated as part of validation tests and final (released) version of software.

## D. Software Installation, Checkout, and Use

PSO Technical 1. As applicable, obtain controlled copy of software and related documents from the DCC.



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision: 2

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003 Page: 4 of 10

Page: 4 of 10 DAR No.: NSNF-451

# PSO Technical 2. Staff

In coordination with the DCC, ensure that the software and related documents are uniquely identified.

- 3. Independently review the selected software to ensure it is suitable for the problem being solved.
- 4. Ensure software installation and installation tests are performed as specified in its user documentation or software plan.
- 5. If software cannot be successfully installed, prepare and disposition a Software Defect Notification, Form 19.01-1, according to the instructions on the form.
- 6. If software has not been validated for the current application or if software will be used outside its range of validation as baselined, perform additional validation testing in accordance with its software plan or Step III.C.3.d.
- 7. Submit software test documentation generated as part of installation tests, to the DCC.
- 8. Unless software is controlled by the Yucca Mountain Project or is the same version as that currently controlled by the DCC, submit copy of software to the DCC.
- 9. Use software in accordance with its user documentation.
- 10. If software deficiencies are identified, prepare and disposition a Software Defect Notification (Form 19.01-1) in accordance with the instructions on the form.

#### E. Software Retirement

Technical Lead 1. When the software will no longer be used, inactivate its software plan by canceling it in accordance with PMP 6.01.

## IV. REFERENCES

None.

## V. DEFINITIONS

Terms appearing in italics followed by the notation "see glossary" are defined in the NSNFP Documents Manual Introduction and Glossary.

## VI. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A, Development and Review Criteria for Software Plan



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision: 2

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003

Page: 5 of 10 DAR No.: NSNF-451

# VII. RECORDS

The following records generated as a result of this procedure require retention according to the identified classification and NSNFP Program Management Procedure 17.01.

# **Lifetime**

A. Software Plan and implementing documents

B. Software Defect Notification

C. Test documentation

D. Software

Nonpermanent

None.




Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision:

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003 Page: 6 of 10

Page: 6 of 10 DAR No.: NSNF-451

# VIII. PROCEDURE FLOW DIAGRAM





Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

2

Revision:

04/02/2003

Eff. Date: Page: DAR No.:

7 of 10 NSNF-451

## Attachment A

# Development and Review Criteria for Software Plan

The Development and Review Criteria are presented in the suggested format for a software plan prepared as a single document. The software plan may also be composed of individual documents that address each of the development and review criteria. Existing documentation may be incorporated into the software plan by reference. Documents referenced within the software plan, when such reference is for the purpose of satisfying the criteria, must be controlled by a QARD-compliant document control system and must be reviewed to assure that software plan criteria are satisfied. The minimum reviewers for each section are shown in parentheses following the section header.

Verification following requirements, design, implementation, and testing is documented in the review performed following each of the software development phases.

## 1. Planning and Requirements

Purpose, Scope, and Applicability (Qualified Software Reviewer)

Identify the specific software governed by the plan and its overall nature and purpose are described along with the basis for applying software controls. Software objectives, scope, and applicability are appropriate for the activity, and the applicable Work Breakdown Structure number is referenced.

## **Quality Assurance** (NSNFP Quality Engineer)

The following text is included:

Quality assurance is maintained by compliance with PSO 19.01, Software Control. PSO 19.01 prescribes processes and associated organizational responsibilities for development, verification, validation, and acceptance along with the associated responsibilities for achieving software quality. PSO 19.01 directs software design requirements, design specifications (including testing requirements), documentation of verifications following each of the software development phases, and validation at the completion of product development to be baselined in the Software Plan, which, upon completion, becomes the software baseline document. The software plan is controlled in accordance with PMP 6.01.

The following are clearly identified:

- The organizations responsible for development, verification, validation, and acceptance along with their respective responsibilities for achieving software quality
- Applicable standards, conventions, methodologies or other requirements
- Any required reviews, holdpoints, and associated criteria.

a. Qualified in accordance with applicable NSNFP procedures and not associated with development of the software.



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision:

DAR No.:

2 04/02/2003

Eff. Date: Page:

8 of 10 NSNF-451

# Attachment A

# **Requirements** (Qualified Software Reviewer)

Requirements, which are specified within the subsections below, satisfy specified QA requirements and provide sufficient detail to enable successful design and/or acquisition of the software to achieve the planned objectives. Achievement of the specified requirements can be verified and validated.

## **Functional Requirements**

The functions that the software must perform and any design constraints are clearly specified.

### **Performance Requirements and Other Attributes**

Requirements, if any, for the time-related issues of software operation such as speed, recovery time, and response time are clearly specified along with other essential attributes such as portability, access control, and maintainability.

#### **Interfaces**

Requirements to ensure successful interface with users and other hardware or software interfaces are clearly specified.

#### **Control Points**

The following sentence is included:

Software is documented, reviewed, and baselined in accordance with PSO 19.01, Software Control.

If necessary to ensure safety, holdpoints (in addition to those stipulated by PSO 19.01) are specified.



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

2

Revision:

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003

Page: DAR No.: 9 of 10 NSNF-451

## Attachment A

# 2. <u>Design</u> (Qualified<sup>a</sup> Software Reviewer)

## **Design Specifications**

The following are clearly specified:

- The major components of the software design as they relate to the design requirements.
- The theoretical basis, mathematical model, control flow, data flow, control logic, and data structure employed by the software.
- The allowed range of inputs and output.
- The design—in a manner that can be translated into code.
- Design-based test cases to demonstrate the software produces the correct results within the specified range of inputs. These tests may use hand calculations, other validated software, experiments and tests, problems with known solutions, and comparisons with confirmed published data and correlation.

Design is specified in a manner that allows traceability to requirements and is sufficient to ensure adherence to the requirements.

## 3. Implementation (Qualified Software Reviewer)

Implementation satisfies requirements and design specifications.

User information contains an overview of user interaction with the software and specifies any background, training, or other prerequisites that are helpful or required for use of the software. In addition, this section provides:

- The form, content, and associated limitations (i.e., allowable ranges) for user inputs and software outputs
- Default values for user inputs and file formats for any input and output data files
- Sample problems along with anticipated errors and appropriate user responses
- Any hardware and software requirements, installation instructions, and installation tests



Doc. No.: PSO 19.01

Revision: 2

Eff. Date: 04/02/2003

Page: 10 of 10 DAR No.: NSNF-451

# **Attachment A**

**4. Testing** (Qualified Software Reviewer)

Tests confirm implementation satisfies software design requirements.

Test cases and associated acceptance criteria (based on the requirements and the design) are provided to verify that code and user documentation meet the design and implementation objectives. Test plans will:

- Identify allowable hardware and software configurations
- Specify the recording of results of the validation
- Include results of reviews and tests
- Require regression testing for software modifications
- Specify minimum tests to be performed for each software installation, modification, or change to the operating system
- Specify documentation and approvals needed to document successful completion of validation and installation tests.

Tests and test documentation are organized in a manner that allows traceability to requirements and is sufficient to ensure adherence to the requirements.